Knowledge Sharing in Public-Private Partnerships in Life Science: An Open Science Perspective

Óscar D. Sánchez-Jiménez, Eduard Aibar

osanchezji@uoc.edu, eaibar@uoc.edu

Research Group On Open Science & Innovation Estudis d'Arts i Humanitats Universitat Oberta de Catalunya

> 4S/EASST CONFERENCE BARCELONA 2016 Science + technology by other means Barcelona, August 31-September 3

Motivation and context

- PhD research project on scientific knowledge sharing in PPPs conducting OI
 - "Open innovation is the use of purposive inflows and outflows of knowledge to accelerate internal innovation, and expand the markets for external use of innovation, respectively"
 - Source: Henry Chesbrough, Open Innovation: Researching a New Paradigm (2006)
- Analyze and model knowledge ownership and sharing in such PPPs
- Explore relationships between OI and OS paradigms and practices
 - Does OI condition the access to scientific knowledge or prevent the implementation of OS practices?
 - -May OS contribute to OI?

Methodology

- Focus on PPPs funded under EC's Framework Programme
 - Analysis on EC's related documentation
 - Policies, position papers, research agendas, work programs...
 - Semi-structured interviews with senior researchers
 - Involved in PPPs funded under EC's Framework Programme (FP6-FP7-H2020)
 - In the field of Life Sciences
 - Ongoing work

1. What does EC say? (1)

• Inputs

- ..._.
- Independent Expert Group on Knowledge Transfer and Open Innovation (2012)
- High Level Expert Group European on Open Science Cloud (2015)
- High Level Advisory Group on Open Science Policy Platform (2016)
- Outputs
 - European Open Science Agenda (draft)
 - Book "Open innovation, open science, open to the world: A vision for Europe" edited by EC, June 2016

1. What does EC say? (2)

- OI must help to connect and exploit OS results
 - OS per se does not ensure commercialization of scientific knowledge and results
- Market-oriented knowledge creation and circulation
 - Research skills, science, funding, IPR... as a matter of supply and demand
 - Scientific work must correspond to the needs of users
 - Knowledge must be findable, accesible, interpretable and re-usable (FAIR)
- "OS does not mean free science"
 - IPR protection prior to making knowledge publicly available
- OS mainly understood in terms of Open Access and Open Data

2. What do researchers say?

a. What is the level of knowledge of OS policies and practices among researchers?

b. Which barriers and incentives to scientific knowledge sharing and to the adoption of OS practices could be identified in PPPs?

2a. OS knowledge

- Clear lack of awareness of OS policies and, in some extent, practices:
 - OS mostly associated to Open Access and Open Data
 - Other OS practices (e.g. Open
 Methodology, Open Peer Review...) were
 not known
 - Open Source/Open Code is not associated to OS

2b. Barriers and incentives: Open Data (1)

- Reluctance to share/make available data generated within the PPP, at least in an early stage
 - "Not before data have been completely used up" Senior Bioinformatics Principal Investigator
 - "Results dealing with new, large data sets are prone to get published in high impact journals (...) nobody would dare to jeopardize a Nature or Science paper" - FP7 Project Coordinator
- Differing views on what can and cannot be shared
 - Depending on the role on the project (e.g. data generator vs data analyst)
 - Depending on scientific field and applications (e.g. computational biology vs drug development)

2b. Barriers and incentives: Open Data (2)

- Shared data is rarely "annotated" with findings obtained from the data, which limits its reuse by third parties, outside the PPP, and to further build on generated knowledge
 - "Policies and publications do not encourage such data annotation (...) Just releasing data is only useful for replicating existing work on larger datasets" - FP7 Project Coordinator
 - Exception: some cohesive communities around specific topics or model organisms (e.g. FlyBase on Drosophila).

2b. Barriers and incentives: Open Data (3)

- Knowledge/know-how (tacit) used for data collection, processing, analysis and interpretation is often required for data reuse
- Access to such knowledge plays a key role on PPP configuration
 - Even if data is made accesible, the ability to exploit it is what makes the difference in entering research partnerships
 - Incentive to open data, specially by companies, in order to get access to external academic know-how (e.g. Open Targets)

2b. Barriers and incentives: Open Source (1)

- Motivation for open sourcing?
 - It makes research enabling technologies and methods transparent and auditable towards peer reviewing and publication
 - It is a standard practice in some communities (e.g. Bioconductor)
 - EC "buys it" as a good practice for ensuring project impact and dissemination of results

2b. Barriers and incentives: Open Source (2)

- Open source projects and solutions developed within Life Sciences PPP are highly specific, tailored to the needs of the project
 - Low/uncertain interest outside the partnership?
 - Communities around such PPPs results are seldom consolidated
- In-depth knowledge of software and solutions resulting from PPPs is required for its reuse
 - "Even if our software is open source, companies and customers knock on our door asking for support (...) ensuring future collaborations and revenues for the research group" - Bioinformatics Group Leader

Preliminary findings

- Lack of awareness of OS by "common" researchers
- Reluctance to share, mainly driven by competition among researchers
 - High impact publications, future collaborations, subcontracting opportunities...
- Role of tacit knowledge in OI/OS
 - Key to configuration of partnerships
 - Potential barrier to the reuse of scientific results and knowledge generated by partnerships
 - Limit to the expected impact of OS on OI (but also an incentive to share?)
- Convergence of OI and OS: OS fueling OI?
- How STS may contribute to better build and operate OI/OS partnerships?