

Citation for published version

Brasó-Broggi, C. [Carles] & Ge, J.[Jixia] (2020). Planning China's future: Liu Guojun's conception of China's post-war economic recovery. Economic History of Developing Regions, 35(3), 155-170. doi: 10.1080/20780389.2020.1762172

DOL

http://doi.org/10.1080/20780389.2020.1762172

Handle

http://hdl.handle.net/10609/148026

Document Version

This is the Accepted Manuscript version.

The version published on the UOC's O2 Repository may differ from the final published version.

Copyright and Reuse

This manuscript version is made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial No Derivatives license (CC-BY-NC-ND) http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/, which allows others to download it and share it with others as long as they credit you, but they can't change it in any way or use them commercially.

Enquiries

If you believe this document infringes copyright, please contact the UOC's O2 Repository administrators: repositori@uoc.edu



Planning China's future. The contributions of Liu Guojun to China's post-war economic recovery

Abstract

Liu Guojun was a Chinese capitalist who owned textile mills in Republican China. During the war against Japan, he wrote essays about the prospects of China's economic recovery. He developed a fine sense of the postwar world economy and participated in discussions about China's economic development. In 1949 he decided to stay in the People's Republic of China, continuing with his work in the textile business and entering the political administration of Jiangsu and the All-China Federation of Industry and Commerce. During this transitional period, he wrote an economic plan for the development of China's textile industry, specifying how this industry should nurture other economic sectors and help to improve both the standards of living and the education of the Chinese people. This article aims to discuss China's late economic development through Liu Guojun's publications and writings that have recently been available to scholars. The article suggests that Liu Guojun anticipated some key factors that drove China's economic reform to succeed in 1978, such as the importance of light industries, given the resource endowments of the country, and the necessity of administering an industrial policy able to lead China's opening to foreign markets.

Keywords: China's late industrialization, textile industry, planned economic system

Introduction

This article aims to analyse the contributions of a textile entrepreneur to China's economic recovery during the 1940s and 1950s. Liu Guojun (1887-1978) was an industrial owner but also an intellectual, despite not having a formal education. He wrote essays, articles and conferences about China's economy, using a pragmatic approach and relying in his long experience in the textile business.¹ This article aims to look at the intellectual production of Liu Guojun during these two decades, especially considering issues of political economy. The article shows how he developed an original theory on industrialization under a planned economic system. In November 1950, his visions were introduced to the Chinese government through reformist and

educator Huang Yanpei (1878-1965), member of the first government of the People's Republic of China (Li 2001b: 161). However, his plans were not implemented even though some of his ideas are recognizable later, in the period of reform and opening up. Indeed, the reforms of Deng Xiaoping retrieved some projects that were discussed in the 1950s (Howe & Walker 1989: xii).

Previous historical research on private companies and entrepreneurs during this first years of the PRC tend to focus on two aspects: first, the business history of companies in the 1950s and their evolution from private concerns to their socialist transformation (Lu 1994; So 2002; Feng 2009; Henriot 2014); and, second, the political role and connotations of businessmen that decided to stay in Communist China or leave, and the consequences of their decisions (Brown & Pickowitz 2007; Wu and Wu 2012; Cochran 2016). Besides, another trend of research highlighted the implementation of a planned economy system, under the leadership of the Chinese Communist Party (Howe & Walker 1987; Yang 2009; Bernstein & Li 2011). While relevant investigations have found precedents of the planned economic system in China's experiences during the 1930s and the war against Japan (Kirby 1990; Bian 2005), fewer studies have looked into the contributions of private entrepreneurs in the creation and implementation of a planned economy system in China.

This is a research article offering results based on the following sources of Liu Guojun: First, published articles and conferences written by him. While the companies of Liu Guojun (especially Dacheng) and his life as an entrepreneur have been investigated (Gao 1994; Xu 1996; Ge 2011; Wang 2016), his writings and his visions on issues of political economy have not attracted academic attention. This article uses them to underline the vision of Liu Guojun regarding the possibility of industrialization in China with an economy that mixed planification with elements of liberalism and free trade. Second, the article uses the personal diary of Liu Guojun of 1945 and other non-published primary sources, like travel reports. These materials

show how the community of textile entrepreneurs of China was highly internationalized and open to learn from the experiences and technologies of other countries. Finally, the article also contains information about the way Liu Guojun envisaged vocational training for enhancing education and expert management. In short, this article tries to argue that Liu Guojun had a sophisticated vision of China's economic development which bears resemblance with some ideas of the reform and opening up period.

Liu Guojun and China's regional development

In the two decades before the Japanese occupation of 1937, Shanghai and the surrounding area of Jiangnan (south of the Yangzi River Delta) became one of the most industrialized spots of Asia, even though the rest of China continued to be predominantly agrarian and poor. The textile industry was the most important sector and its development was linked to the treaty port economy of Shanghai and its dependency on foreign trade. Liu Guojun was an entrepreneur from Jiangsu province who managed to build a textile empire before 1937. In contrast to other industrialists from the same period and region (such as the Rong family), Liu Guojun was a self-made man. He was born in the suburbs of Changzhou in a well-educated family. However, the decline of Confucian schooling at the end of the Qing dynasty and the early death of this father made him suffer economic hardships, forcing him to work from a young age in unstable jobs as street peddler and cotton spinner. He later settled down working in cloth shops that offered all kinds of garments to the market, from traditional to modern and from Chinese to Western styles. Liu Guojun learnt the business of clothing and soon started to experiment with selling cloths and clothes and with the manufacturing of the last parts of the textile production chain: dyeing, printing, calendaring and tailoring (Liu 1962: 1-12).

In 1915, Liu Guojun and some partners set up the first textile industrial mill of Changzhou registering the company in 1919 with the name of Dalun Spinning and Weaving Company

Limited, and a capital of 10,000 spindles. It was not successful, but Liu Guojun kept experimenting and participating in different companies until finally creating Dacheng in 1930. Dacheng became a big industrial group that has been studied as one of the most fortunate business cases of Republican China (Xu 1996). It was a vertically integrated company that could spin, weave, dye and finish cotton goods, running high profits between 1930 and 1936, thanks to a constant machinery upgrading. Between 1924 and 1935, Liu Guojun made four trips to Japan to acquire modern sets of textile manufacturing (spinning, weaving and finishing) and succeeded in producing high-end products that competed in the markets of China with imported goods. Just before the Japanese occupation, Dacheng had 40,000 spindles, around 1,000 looms and a complete finishing equipment and was planning to increase its capacity (Brasó-Broggi 2016: 77). However, Liu Guojun was not optimistic regarding the future of China because the products of Dacheng could only be consumed by a minority of Chinese urbanites, while most of the Chinese population was stuck in poverty.²

In November 1931, Liu Guojun published an article titled "A plan for saving the country through hand spinning" (Liu 1931). He claimed that hand spinning should be enhanced by the government to face China's problem of mass poverty. Being a textile industrialist, this plan seemed contradictory with his business. According to the standard theory of economic development, the path of modernization consists on evolving from traditional and domestic forms of manufacturing to modern industrial methods of mass production and consumption. Backwardness came from the common idea that traditional production was less efficient than industrial production. However, Liu Guojun advocated hand spinning in the countryside for self-consumption to improve the conditions of the rural population, and he was supportive of Indian Nationalist Mahatma Gandhi, when he praised hand spinning in India. Liu Guojun was a successful textile entrepreneur but also an intellectual concerned about the problems of development and poverty. In his words:

Hand spinning is a light work, it can be done by man and women, elder and young. China's traditional handicraft production has been wiped out by foreign industrial goods. As we have not enough capital to build a huge industrial base to resist them, we can only rely on the Chinese masses and make them understand the difficulties facing our country. We should thus stop worshipping the modern and loathing the old. We need to imitate the Indian leader Gandhi's rule of saving the country by allowing the poorer population to make a living through hand-spinning (Liu 1931: 2).

This article was written in the peak of the Great Depression, the invasion of China's Northeast regions by the Japanese troops and the further formation of the puppet state of Manchuria, early in 1932. At that time, the cotton sector was the largest industry of China, with 4.2 million spindles of which 1.6 million was owned by Japanese companies while China owned 2.4, the rest being in the hands of British firms.³ Due to strikes and boycotts the Japanese mills were left idle, creating urban unemployment, social unrest and a lack of supply of cloth. Liu Guojun calculated that there was only one industrial spindle available for every 200 Chinese persons. He was a nationalist who believed that China was being humiliated by imperialist forces from Europe, United States and Japan. But he was also aware that the closure of foreign companies in China would worsen the already weak socio-economic situation of the country and the scarcity of consumption goods.

Meanwhile, the Japanese administration had started to implement industrial policies of rationalization of production and state planification: in 1936, a First-Five Year Plan was implemented in the puppet state of Manchukuo (Johnson 1982: 104-05, 130-31). It is possible that Liu Guojun was influenced from these early economic planning experimentations, even though this is never made explicit. The Japanese occupation of the Jiangnan area in the summer of 1937 drew a heavy blow to China's most important industrial base, that had been built by the Chinese entrepreneurs (Coble 2001). For Dacheng it meant the destruction of its capital, and the further division and dispersion of mills between Changzhou, Shanghai, Chongqing and Hong Kong. In 1938, Liu Guojun moved from Changzhou to Chongqing but kept travelling within China during the war, sometimes at a high personal risk (he was kidnapped in Changzhou in October

1942). China's emergent textile industry was consciously destroyed during the war against Japan. In 1945, the country's production was around 10 per cent of pre-war production levels (Wang 2004: 10-13).

In July 1944, Liu Guojun wrote "My humble opinions on planning China's expansion of industrial spinning" (Liu 1944).⁴ This is a remarkable essay about China's possibilities and potentials of post-war recovery. He envisaged a plan for China's future based on promoting the textile sector for exporting industrial goods while importing machineries and gradually improving the industrial capacity as well as the standards of living of the population.

Planning China's economic recovery

Liu Guojun developed a blueprint for China's economic recovery where he matured some of his ideas of pre-war writings. The intellectual formation of this plan started in the context of war – first the war against Japan and, afterwards, China's civil war— and consolidated in the period of transition to socialism in the first years of the People's Republic of China. However, his further writings and conferences did not change the basic pillars of 1944.

His first point focused on import substitution and export promotion of textile goods. He thought that China not only had to gain self-sufficiency in cloth production but also ambition the South East Asian markets. Therefore, China would have to double its pre-war industrial capacity and reach 10 million cotton spindles. Liu Guojun suggested to set an objective of 10 million cotton spindles in 15 years divided in three five-year plans: during the first years, China would increase the spinning capacity at a rate of 400 thousand each year; the next five years, at an increase of 600 thousand rate and finally at a rate of one million per year. This increase would help China attain auto sufficiency and also start exporting to South East Asia. The reasons for this strategy

was that Chinese industrialists and the government could obtain foreign currencies from cloth exports with which to import technological advanced machineries.

Besides the textile industry, modernizing and revitalizing agriculture were essential measures to be implemented. Raw cotton had to be secured for industrial development and grain had to be delivered to cities for urban consumption. From the 1930s, Liu Guojun advocated for a comprehensive modernization of agricultural production in China, focusing on grain and cotton as staple products (Liu 1933). Trade between rural and urban regions would not only provide with agricultural edible products to the city but also industrial goods to the countryside, in substitution to domestic made goods. In contrast to his previous writings, Liu Guojun believed that traditional methods of production had to decline. During the war, the destruction of factories and the standstill of trade highlighted China's dependency in old pedal looms to fulfil the demand of clothing of the common people. After the war, however, China could not open to international markets with these outdated forms of production.

The second pillar claimed for protectionist policies to help developing China's textile industry. He advocated for a close link between politics and industry, where the political authorities restricted importation of textile goods in order to promote domestic industrial growth. His argument was the typical of East Asian Listian protectionist policymakers: "China's industry is in its infancy. This is no secret, since the industry of every country cannot but evolve from infancy to maturity. When industry is immature, it needs the support of the government, but when it is developed, the industry can repay the governments kindness. There are many evidences of this in advanced countries that are being supported by industry" (Liu 1933: 9-10). The government should put restrictions to foreign direct investment entering China to avoid that foreign companies gain access to staple products such as raw materials and technology. However, China's trade should be open to import machineries and raw materials.

The third pillar of Liu Guojun's program was to organize professional and economic institutions to supervise and lead industrial development. The liaison between government policies and industrial interests and the coordination of the economic planning with private company's interests had to be managed by professional institutions led by industrial experts. Because Liu Guojun was aware of the complexities of the collaboration between the state and private companies, he advocated for creating pilot plants, where cadres and entrepreneurs could experiment with different types of management. The important thing, according to Liu Guojun, was that factories were managed by experts that could teach the youngest and less experienced.

The fourth pillar consisted on introducing machinery know-how and enhancing R+D in the industrial plants. In a meeting at the Chamber of Commerce in Wujin (a district of Changzhou), Liu Guojun had stated that "machinery revolution was more important that material revolution" (Liu 1929: 14). Liu Guojun believed that the use of machinery and technology innovation were essential to development and held that China should first try to import old and cheap machines for renovation, reverse engineering and research. In this way, the textile industry would create spillover effects to other sectors such as machinery reparation and mechanical workshops, at least until the know-how of manufacturing highly sophisticated machines was acquired. The growth of industrial spindles would also create positive effects in other textile sectors such as weaving, dyeing and garment design and manufacturing.

The fifth and last pillar consisted on developing a vocational education and implementing a social stratification according to industrial talent and skills. In the pre-war period, Liu Guojun had put especial attention to education in the cotton mills, developing a theory according to which those who know management and technology are first class talents, those who know management but not technology are second class talents, and those who know technology but not management are third class talents (Ge 2011). Liu Guojun's three-class theory for vocational education and industrial stratification indicates how he managed his company, with the

positions of senior technical management, young management talent and the cultivation of new technicians. Departing from this theory and his 30 years of experience in the textile business, Liu Guojun developed a plan for setting up vocational training schools attached to factories.

International market prospects, 1944-48

After writing "My humble opinions", Liu Guojun left Chongqing and spent one year travelling around the world with his partner Miao Jiasan and other members of Dacheng. In November 1944, he flew from Chongqing to Hong Kong; then to the liberated zones of Burma and India; finally, he travelled to the United States and Canada, where he received the news of Japan's rendition. He was back in China in the beginning of 1946, arriving in Shanghai with a cargo of wool and cotton machineries made in the United States.

In India he visited cotton mills that produced coarser products for Vietnam and other South-East Asian markets. In his opinion, the productivity of workers and the quality of Indian goods were far below international standards. That was caused by the lack of collaboration of the British in transferring technology to India (Liu 1946a: 17). In contrast, the Japanese textile industry had dominated the Asian markets, but it had no future because Japan was losing the war and its predominance would soon come to an end. Therefore, Liu Guojun concluded that the Chinese textile industry had an enormous potential of growth, having the opportunity to supplant both India and Japan in the post-war Asian markets (Liu 1944: 33-34). But he believed that the success of the Chinese textile business in Asia depended not only on its competitiveness but also on the way companies established relationship with the Chinese government.

He was optimistic about the collaboration between the governments of Washington and Chongqing, especially regarding the transfer of technology. Between his arrival in Memphis, Tennessee, in February 1945 until his departure to Canada in July, Liu Guojun paid around 50

institutional visits in the United States: textile factories (cotton and woollen), vertically integrated mills, machinery producers, agricultural farms, financial companies and embassies and consulates (see Liu Guojun's diary of 1945 in Ge & Zhong 2017). His curiosity reached almost every aspect of the country. He was accompanied by his son Liu Handong, who was studying textile engineering and spoke fluent English. Liu Guojun was impressed by the modernity of machinery, especially in computer devices that were used for automatization of production and the vertical integration of American textile companies (Liu 1946b: 20). The banker and counsellor of the Chongqing government in the United States, Chen Guangfu (K. P. Chen) told him that after the war the Chinese government would acquire 500,000 cotton spindles in both the United States and Britain.⁵

Back in China, Liu Guojun made an exhortation to all Chinese textile entrepreneurs to adopt a strategy of continuous innovation and modernization of their products through technology updating. He advised against any position of complacency or conservatism. He emphasized that the capacity of automatization and the speed of production in the United States would put an end to the pre-war scenario, that was dominated by the competition between Great Britain and Japan. Japan's leadership in Asia was due to the cheapness of its labour and the support of its government, but this advantage would soon be overcome, and China could then profit from this exceptional market prospect and its alliance with the United States (Liu 1946a: 17).

Liu Guojun made a thorough analysis on the production process in the United States, stating its superiority compared to the British industry (Liu 1946b: 24-25). The technical reports of Miao Jiasan explained how in the US textile mills stood near the cotton producing regions: raw cotton was purchased by stock brokers, who had a close relationship with factory owners, knowing the price fluctuations of the raw materials and its impact upon factory margins (Miao 1987: 52-53). Like in the Northern states of the US and in Canada, raw wools were abundant in Northern China, but the woollen industry was still weak compared to cotton or silk. Factories should thus develop

near the primary source and a network of brokers should control, under the state supervision, the quality and price of raw materials.

In the Second World War, the so-called rich countries have all driven their population into a blood bath. Their losses are enormous and cannot be counted until the end of the conflict. But its only when there is war than peace is so necessary; and its only after destruction when reconstruction is needed. Therefore, let me share an idea for my country: because the war against Japan will soon come to an end, we can't ignore our duty in the reconstruction process. In this climate of wartime emergency, every country is discussing with enthusiasm the plans for peace. How will industry and commerce that has been paralyzed for so long resume production and activity? How will new industries and countries emerge in this reconstruction process? Those who have already prepared themselves and have actively resumed industrial production show an admirable ambition, courage and a forward-looking vision. We should follow their example (Liu 1944: 20).

Liu Guojun advocated for a fast move towards purchasing equipment in Japan, as well as in the United States, just after the rendition, to avoid the comeback of the Japanese firms (Liu, 1946a: 17). In a speech in his hometown in 1946, he stated that China's textile industry should start immediately competing in the international markets (Liu 1946a: 17). This statement did not mean that Liu Guojun embraced liberalism: he also said that the experiences of the Second World War had shown that a strong government guidance was essential for securing regular provisions of raw materials.⁶

Between 1946 and 1949, Liu Guojun managed textile mills in Shanghai, Changzhou, Taiwan and Hong Kong. His industrial group increased production with the new machineries bought in the United States and Canada. In 1948, Dacheng group made a market research that confirmed the potentialities of the consumer markets of South East Asia (Zhu 1948: 134-60). However, the government of Chiang Kai-shek took over the Japanese factories that stood in China in 1945, creating a big public corporation that competed against the private firms, and put impediments to private companies to conduct foreign trade. As a result, Dacheng was not allowed to export on a large scale and part the modern machineries purchased between 1945 and 1948 ended up in Hong Kong, (Brasó-Broggi 2016: 93-97). Hyperinflation, social unrest and the Civil War between the nationalists and the communists foiled the post-war economic recovery and the

collaboration between the textile industry and the government (Bergère 1989). Indeed, there was a hot race for recovering the Asian markets after the Second World War, but Japan finally managed to restore its market share, becoming the first world exporter of cotton textiles by 1951 (Sugihara 2004: 521-22). However, Liu Guojun kept believing that the Chinese textile industry, once open to international markets with innovative strategies and products could become a leading player.

Liu Guojun and Huang Yanpei in Beijing

Liu Guojun was in Hong Kong when Mao Zedong proclaimed the People's Republic of China in October 1949. Chinese intellectual Huang Yanpei (who was affiliated in the China Democratic League) and some delegates of the Chinese Communist Party in Hong Kong persuaded him to return to China in September 1950 (Liu 1962: 72-73). Huang Yanpei was a Chinese scholar that pioneered in vocational education. In 1917, he created one of the first vocational schools specialized in training for industry and commerce in Shanghai: The Association of Vocational Education of China. He was in touch with industrial entrepreneurs and became a good friend of Liu Guojun. During the war against Japan, Huang Yanpei read "My humble opinions" and shared his views with other intellectuals, like the writer Pan Yangyao and economist Ma Yinchu. An extended version of Liu Guojun's plan was published in March 1949 (Sun 1999: 164-65).

In November 1950, Liu Guojun visited Beijing invited by Huang Yanpei, who had been nominated Vice Premier of the State Council and Vice Minister of Light Industries, under the auspices of the politics of New Democracy and the United Front. Huang was one of the few noncommunist members of the Chinese government. He introduced Liu Guojun to a meeting with experts of the Ministry of Light Industries lead by Chen Shaomei.⁷ Then, Liu Guojun met the Central Committee on Finance and Economics under the leadership of Bo Yibo and Chen Yun. Chen Yun praised Dacheng as an important player in the textile industry and promised to pay a visit to its

factories in Changzhou (Liu 1951: 28-29; Wang 2016: 287). Liu Guojun also attended a meeting at the Ministry of Trade, where he demanded for an increase of trading facilities to allow textile mills to purchase standardized raw cotton and machineries in the international markets (Liu 1951: 29). Then, Liu Guojun was received by Li Weihan, in charge of the United Front, an important liaison institution between the party and the industrial owners. Finally, he met Zhou Enlai, who was favorable of attracting capitalists to the PRC and profit from their industrial experience (Cochran 2007: 369).

In these meetings, Liu Guojun explained his plan and increased its ambition, suggesting that China could reach 15 million new spindles in 15 years (and not the 10 million originally planned), as the country was engaged in a fast path of industrialization. China was an underdeveloped country devastated by more than a decade of war. The population of China was overwhelmingly rural and poor and industrial production did not reach 10 per cent of aggregate output (Lardy, 1987: 145). But the prospects of peace provoked a sharp and fast increase of economic production in the first years of the Mao regime.

One of the first measures taken by the government in 1949 was to control cotton and grain provision to cities and ensure that urban factories could resume production. Early in 1950, more than 80 per cent of the cotton provision was already controlled by the state, taking over the business from the middlemen and cotton traders (Chao 1977: 241). Chen Yun alerted that it was essential to ensure a stable provision of raw cotton and machineries to keep up industrial growth, especially in the poorer regions of the interior, where the new investment projects would be allocated (Chen 1949).

According to the plan of Liu Guojun, textile industrial growth would come from the spindles dedicated to the domestic market, as the Chinese government was committed in increasing the standards of living of the population, while keeping 5 million for exporting to the Southeast Asian markets (Sun 1999: 169). However, the hopes to keep China open to foreign trade were quickly

frustrated by the trade embargo that was imposed after the clash of the war in Korea in June 1950. Even though growth rates prospects in cotton yarn production were kept high during these years, a significant change occurred in the original plan of Liu Guojun: this growth would have to be supplied with machineries and raw cotton coming from China.

Liu Guojun had elaborated a comprehensive economic post-war recovery plan that was adapted to the economic policies of socialist countries, based on five-year plans, but also considering China's specificities such as the historical importance of textile industries and the resource endowments of the Country: its labour-intensive character and its traditional lack of capital. During the first years of Maoism, the soviet style industrialization program was still under discussion. Besides the orthodox model of growth inspired by Stalin, Zhou Enlai suggested to learn from the socialist system of Yugoslavia, Liu Shaoqi from the earlier soviet growth plans during the New Economic Policy (NEP), while Chen Yun was in favour of a mix between Stalin's bureaucratic socialism and the NEPs more liberal orientation (Lüthi 2010: 41-42). At the end, however, the Stalinist industrialization model, with a strong emphasis on heavy industries while marginalizing light industries and other consumption goods, was imposed in the first five-year plan to be implemented in 1953. According to Liu Guojun:

China has started a first five-year plan to develop its economy on a large scale. It will be focused on the heavy industry: this is totally convenient and necessary. However, under the premise of accepting the heavy industry as a key sector, I have a contribution to make. In the process of building a heavy industrial base, light industries should also have a positive and appropriate development. In the process of developing light industries, cotton spinning, and weaving should be strengthened, because this sector not only helps improving the standards of living of the people (like the food industry) but also contributes to both the national finance and the local economies (Liu 1953a: 50).

Liu Guojun had to put his opinion in a politically correct way. Besides, optimism was dominant in the first years of Maoism before the implementation of the first five-year. A member from the government of Inner Mongolia assured Liu Guojun that, no matter if the priority were heavy industries or light industries, his plan to increase China's textile industrial capacity could be

achieved in only six years (Liu 1951: 31). Even Mao Zedong questioned the official target of increasing 2,5 million spindles in the first five-year plan and said that industrial capacity could grow 3 million or more (Dangdai zhongguo de fangzhi gongye 1984: 41).

Liu Guojun and the First Five-Year Plan

In 1953, China's industrial capacity in cotton spinning was still stuck in 5 million spindles, while the population of the country had reached 600 million. To reach auto sufficiency, China needed at least to double this capacity, but Liu Guojun still believed that it could reach 15 million in only 10 years, given the speed of China's industrialization and the needs deriving from the trade blockade and the decline of traditional domestic manufacturing. In the first five-year plan, light industries received between 5 and 7 per cent of China's total industrial investment (Chao 1977: 250). An important share of this investment was allocated to the production of industrial spindles and, thus, the target to increase China's textile industrial capacity in 2.5 million spindles was accomplished around 1956 (Dangdai zhongguo de fangzhi gongye 1984: 181). Liu Guojun's plan was achieved in quantitative terms. Besides, the textile sector was lucrative, as low investment was needed but high returns were to be expected, given the needs of the population of China. State-owned textile industries stated profits amounting 2.4 billion yuan between 1952-56, doubling the total investment that was placed in this industry in this period (1.6 billion) (Chao 1977: 250).

But these spindles were produced in China and came from the newly created machinery industry, that was able to produce 800.000 cotton spindles in 1956, while cotton yarn and cloth production doubled the pre-war peaks (Han 1957: 158). However, textile experts like Liu Guojun were cautious regarding this impressive growth. One of the most common criticisms, besides the lack of investment in comparative terms, was the progressive standardization and the focus on quantitative production, neglecting qualitative factors such as the quality of goods, the taste

of the consumer and the competitiveness against international competitors (Han 1957: 164).

Besides, China's growing ambition in increasing production and productivity led to an overuse of the machineries and a lack of updates and proper maintenance.

The First Five-Year plan created a massive technological transfer from the URSS and other socialist countries to China, but the textile industry was neglected in favor of other sectors. Besides, neither China nor the USSR were leaders in the manufacture of textile machineries and the technological gap between China and the rest of the world widened. Continuous modernization and technology update were key points in the strategy of Liu Guojun that justified keeping the textile sector open to foreign trade.

In Liu Guojun's plan the textile industry would finance itself internationally with sales of cloth in exchange of modern equipment and raw cotton. In 1955, Chen Yun coincided with Liu Guojun: it was in the interest of China to obtain foreign currencies by exporting textile goods and to solve scarcities of capital and raw cotton in the foreign markets (Chen 1955: 660). Liu argued that China could play a leading role internationally if only the country could gain access to the markets of South-East Asia. In this regard, he believed that the Chinese diaspora could be attracted to invest in China with the guidance of the Chinese government and even believed that the textile industry could be led by private entrepreneurs, under the leadership of the Communist Party, while the heavy industry was entirely put under the control of the state (Liu 1953a: 57).

However, between 1953 and 1957, all private entrepreneurs like Liu Guojun had to give up profits and share them with the public side, that came to control every private company in the process of socialist transformation and joint public private ownership (Feng 2009). Profits were collected by the state and private capitalists were given a fixed dividend, losing effective control over firms. In the general meeting of the National Federation of Industry and Commerce that took place in Beijing in 1953, Liu Guojun stated that he was willing to adapt to socialism and to

transfer his property rights from the private to the public (Liu 1953c: 66-67). From 1953 to 1960, Liu Guojun became the only private entrepreneur member of the Standing Committee of the Jiangsu Federation of Industry and Commerce.⁸

Being aware that all the control of production was in the hands of political cadres, Liu Guojun emphasized the role of technical personnel and experts that should be "constantly improving the technological level and the mechanical equipment of China's textile industry". Industrial actors should stick together to build a powerful national textile federation (Liu 1944: 32). This federation would assign production quotas to every factory, according to its industrial capacity and the balance between heavy and light industrial production set by the government (Liu 1956: 186-88). In the Third Session of the National People Congress, Liu Guojun addressed the issue of balance between textile industrial growth and the provision of raw cotton. Provisions of raw cotton, not to mention its quality, had become highly volatile creating supply problems to the textile industry that could not meet production targets.

Planners like Chen Yun discovered that the expansion of cotton crops to keep up with industrial growth was at the expense of grain crops, and that grain had to be procured to cotton growers in exchange of cotton (Chen 1962: 128). Without foreign trade, the problems of balance between light and heavy industries and between cotton and grain production became an important issue. Mao placed them on the top of the list when writing about the ten problems that China faced, in his famous publication "On ten major relationships" (Mao 1956). Therefore, even though Liu Guojun's targets to increase cotton spinning capacity in China were reached in quantitative terms, the outcome was far different, as it would be evident in the second five year-plan, when the Great Leap Forward was implemented.

Conclusions

In 1934, Liu Guojun bought one of the first multi-colour printing machine for textiles in Japan. The installation and running of this new equipment was time consuming and costly, but he finally succeeded in producing one of the best corduroy and velvet fabrics in China. In 1936, he carried out trial experimentation of velveteen and corduroy successfully, which blazed a trail nationwide (Liu 1958: 154). Fifty years later, the corduroy of Changzhou was still being produced after the passing away of Liu Guojun, allegedly with the same machinery. In the 1980s, the mayor of Changzhou, Chen Hongchang, former director of Dacheng, acknowledged the contributions of deceased Liu Guojun to China's development and modernization. At that time, his views of openness and technological modernization fit perfectly in the discourse of the Four Modernizations of Deng Xiaoping in the beginning of the reform period.

In the 1930s, Liu Guojun was convinced that China's economic growth depended on an exportoriented kind of industrialization. After investigating the textile industries of Japan, Britain, the United States, Canada and India he adopted an international perspective that took into consideration international competitiveness, the specificities of each country and the need of state support. He believed that the Chinese textile industry could find niche markets in South-East Asia, especially among the Chinese diaspora communities. Therefore, the government should ensure the provision of basic products for the textile industry, including capital, raw cotton, plant area facilities and personnel, and protect it from other the foreign competition during the early stages. Meanwhile, these companies should have facilities to export to third markets and import machinery and technology. This theory of industrialization lead by private companies but under a strong supervision of the state, is similar to the industrial policies that were common in post-war Asia, among countries that sought to substitute imports and, at the same time, expand exports to third countries. It has been studied extensively in the successful post-war experiences of Japan and other Asian countries (Akamatsu 1962). However, the fact that there were Chinese industrialists ready to implement this policy in the first years of the People's Republic of China is less well known.

Furthermore, Liu Guojun thought that the development of the textile industry in China was compatible with the socialist economic planning of the Five-Year plan. As early as in 1944, he adapted his wills to expand the Chinese cotton industry to this new tool of socialist governments that were nevertheless also adopted by other governments like in the Japanese empire. In his writings, he accepted the subordination of his assets to the interests of the state, but he always claimed for keeping China open to foreign markets to keep pace with the technological progress. According to the theory of Liu Guojun, the closure of foreign trade and the choice of emphasising heavy industries in detriment of light industries were the essential factors that had hindered China's economic development. By contrast, the participation of the government in the business affairs, which was the core of the socialist transition, was more a question of organization and management that had to be addressed by industrial experts and a strong emphasis on education. The plan of Liu Guojun was never implemented even though industrial growth rates of the First Five-Year plan coincided in quantitative terms. However, the contributions of Liu Guojun to the post-war economic recovery went beyond production targets and integrated a complex set of priorities and methods. Liu Guojun died in 1978, just before the beginning of the policies of reform and opening up, so we can only speculate on what would have been his opinions on this process.

References

- Akamatsu, K, 1962. Historical pattern of economic growth in developing countries. The Developing Economies, Issue Supplement 1, 3-25.
- Bergère, MC, 1989. Les capitalistes shanghaïens et la période de transition entre le régime Guomindang et les communistes (1948-1952). Études Chinoises 8, 7-30.
- Bernstein, TP & Li, HY (Eds), 2011. China learns from the Soviet Union, 1949-present. Rowman & Littlefield, Lanham, MD.
- Bian, ML, 2005. The Making of the State Enterprise System in Modern China: The Dynamics of Institutional Change. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.

- Brasó-Broggi, C, 2016. Trade and Technology Networks in the Chinese Textile Industry. Opening Up before the Reform. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke and New York, NY.
- Brown, J & Pickowicz, PG (Eds), 2007. Dilemmas of Victory: The Early Years of the People's Republic of China. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Changzhou fangzhi Shiliao Vol. 2 常州纺织史料第二辑, 1983. 常州市纺织工业公司编史修志办公室编, Changzhou.
- Chao, K, 1977. The development of cotton textile production in China. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Chen, Y, 陈云, 1949 [1999]. 陈云同志在上海财经会议上的讲话 (Speech given by Chen Yun at a Conference of Finance in Shanghai). In Wu, W, 吴文英, 1999. 辉煌的二十世纪新中国大记录, 纺织卷.红旗出版社, Beijing, 945.
- Chen, Y, 1962 [1989]. The present situation in finance and economics and certain measures to overcome difficulties. In Howe, C & Walker, KR (Eds), 1989. The Foundations of the Chinese Planned Economy. A Documentary Survey 1954-65. St. Martin's Press, New York, NY, 115-30.
- Chen, Y, 陈云, 1955 [2005]. 应该出口一些工业品 (We need to export some industrial products). In Zhonggong zhongyang wenxian yanjiu shibian 中共中央文献研究室编, 2005, 陈云文集 (Complete works of Chen Yun), 第 2 卷. 中央文献出版社, Beijing.
- Coble, P, 2001. Chinese Capitalists in Japan's New Order: The Occupied Lower Yangzi, 1937-1945. University of California Press, Oakland, CA.
- Cochran, S, 2007. Capitalists choosing communist China: The Liu family of Shanghai, 1948-56. In Brown and Pickowicz (Eds), 2007. Dilemmas of Victory. The early years of the People's Republic of China. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Cochran, S (Ed), 2016. The Capitalist Dilemma in China's Communist Revolution. Cornell University East Asian Program, Ithaca, NY.
- Dangdai zhongguo de fangzhi gongye 当代中国的纺织工业 (China's modern textile industry), 1984. 中国社会出版社, Beijing.
- Farnie, D & Jeremy, D (Eds), 2004. The Fibre that Changed the World. The Cotton Industry in International Perspective, 1600-1990s. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Feng, X, 冯筱才, 2009. 政治生存与经济生存: 上海商人如何走上公私合营之路 1949-1957) (Political survival and economic survival: How Shanghai businessmen entered the path of harmonization of public and private, 1949-57). 中国当代史研究 2, 91-138.
- Gao, J, 高进勇, 1993. 从乡童到富豪 (From a country kid to tycoon). 江苏人民出版社, Nanjing.
- Ge, J, 葛吉霞, 2011. 民国企业家刘国钧人力资源管理研究 (Research on China's Republican businessman Liu Guojun and his human management). 常州大学学报 12 (4), 103-08.
- Ge, J, 葛吉霞 & Zhong, S, 钟树杰, 2017. 刘国钧日记 1945 (LGRJ) (Liu Guojun's diary, 1945). 凤凰出版社, Nanjing.

- Han, Q, 1957. Achievements are the main feature of construction work in the textile industry in recent years. In Howe, C & Walker, K (Eds), 1989. The Foundations of the Chinese Planned Economy. A Documentary Survey 1954-65. St. Martin's Press, New York, NY, 158-64.
- Howe, C & Walker KR (Eds), 1989. The Foundations of the Chinese Planned Economy. A Documentary Survey 1954-65. St. Martin's Press, New York, NY.
- Huang, Y, 黄炎培, 2012. 黄炎培日记 (The diaries of Huang Yanpei). 中国社会科学院近代史研究所整理, 华文出版社, 第 11 卷.
- Johnson, C, 1982. MITI and the Japanese Miracle. The Growth of Industrial Policy, 1925-1975. Stanford University Press, Stanford, CA.
- Kirby, WC, 1990. Continuity and Change in Modern China: Economic Planning on the Mainland and on Taiwan, 1943–1958. The Australian Journal of Chinese Affairs 2, 121–41.
- Kubo, T, 久保亨, 2009. 20 世紀中国経済史の探究 (Explorations of China's economic history in the 20th Century). 信州大学人文学部, Nagano.
- Lardy, NR, 1987. Economic recovery and the 1st Five-Year Plan. In Fairbank, JK & Macfarquhar, R (Eds), 1987. The Cambridge History of China. Vol. 14 The People's Republic, Part 1: The Emergence of Revolutionary China, 1949-1965. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 144-84.
- Li, W, 李文瑞, 2001a. 刘国钧文集 (LGWJ) (Publications of Liu Guojun). 南京师范大学出版社, Nanjing, 6 Vols.
- Li, W, 李文瑞, 2001b. 刘国钧先生年表 (A chronology of Mr. Liu Guojun). In LGWJ, Vol. 2, 传记卷, 145-75.
- Liu, G, 刘国钧 1929 [2001]. 国货会第四次常委会消息 (News from the Fourth Conference on National Goods). In LGWJ, Vol. 6 附录卷, 14.
- Liu, G, 刘国钧 1931 [2001]. 土纱救国计划书 (A plan for saving the country through hand spinning). In LGWJ, Vol. 3, 论著卷, 1-7.
- Liu, G, 刘国钧 1933 [2001]. 利用棉麦借款于生产之意见 (Ideas on using cotton and wheat as loans for production). In LGWJ, Vol. 3, 论著卷, 8-10.
- Liu, G, 刘国钧 1935 [2001]. 赴日考察印象及感想 (Impressions on my investigation travels to Japan). In LGWJ, Vol. 3, 论著卷, 11-19.
- Liu, G, 刘国钧 1944 [2001]. 扩充纱锭计划刍议 (My humble opinions on planning China's expansion of industrial spinning). In LGWJ, Vol. 3, 论著卷, 20-36.
- Liu, G, 刘国钧 1946a [2001]. 刘国钧演讲考察欧美纺织事业经过 (A report on the course of textiles business in Europa and America). In LGWJ, Vol. 6 附录卷, 17-18.
- Liu, G, 刘国钧 1946b [2001]. 访美报告纲要 (A report on the essential points of my travel to the United States). In LGWJ, Vol. 6 附录卷, 19-26.

- Liu, G, 刘国钧 1951 [2001]. 传达全国纺织工业会议精神和周总理等的指示 (Enlarge the spirit of national textile industrialization, according to the guidance of Zhou Enlai). In LGWJ, Vol. 4 讲演卷, 28-31.
- Liu, G, 刘国钧 1953a [2001]. 重视棉纺织工业,来配合重工业建设的建议(初稿) (A draft proposal for focusing on textile industries to support further development of heavy industries). In LGWJ, Vol. 3, 论著卷, 49-61.
- Liu, G, 刘国钧 1953b [2001]. 谈编制"一五"计划的重点— 在常州市工商联会议的讲话 (Speaking about the first five-year plan. Speech at the Jiangsu Federation of Industry and Commerce). In LGWJ, Vol. 4 讲演卷, 64-65.
- Liu, G, 刘国钧 1953c [2001]. 自觉接受社会主义改造积极争取公私合营 在全国工商联会员代表大会上的讲话 (摘要) (Consciously accepting the socialist transformation and positively accepting the harmonization between private and public. Speech given at the National Federation of Industry and Commerce). In LGWJ, Vol. 4 讲演卷, 66-67.
- Liu, G, 刘国钧 1956 [2001]. 为我国纺织工业的进一步发展而欢呼— 在全国人大一届二次会议上的发言 (About the development of the textile industry in my country). In LGWJ, Vol. 4 讲演卷, 186-88.
- Liu, G, 刘国钧 1958 [2001]. 工商业者要争取思想改造的大丰收 (The business sector must strive for ideological reform). In LGWJ, Vol. 3, 论著卷, 153-57.
- Liu, G, 刘国钧 1962 [2001]. 自述 (Self-report, autobiography). LGWJ, Vol. 2, 传记卷, 1-162.
- Liu, G, 刘国钧 1976 [2001]. 我国纺织工业的回顾与展望— 在江苏省联组学习会上的发言 (Review and prospects of China's textile industry. Speech at the Jiangsu provincial association study meeting). LGWJ, Vol. 4 讲演卷, 222-25.
- Liu guojun xiansheng jinian zhuanji 刘国钧先生纪念专集 (A collection in memory of Mr. Liu Guojun) (1987). 常州文史资料, Changzhou.
- Lu, D, 1994. Entrepreneurship in Suppressed Markets: Private-sector Experience in China. Garland Publishing, New York, NY.
- Lüthi, LM, 2010. Sino-Soviet relations during the Mao years, 1949-1969. In Bernstein, TP & Li, HY (Eds), 2011. China learns from the Soviet Union, 1949-present. Rowman & Littlefield, Lanham, MD, 27-61.
- Mao, Z, 1956. On ten major relationships. English version available:
- https://www.marxists.org/reference/archive/mao/selected-works/volume-5/mswv5_51.htm
- Miao, J, 1987 [2001]. 回忆刘国钧先生 (Remembering Liu Guojun). In LGWJ, Vol. 6 附录卷, 52-55.
- So, BWY, 2002. The Policy-Making and Political Economy of the Abolition of Private Ownership in the Early 1950s: Findings from New Material. The China Quarterly 171 (September), 682-703.

- Sugihara, K, 2004. International Circumstances surrounding the Post-war Japanese Cotton Textile Industry. In Farnie, D & Jeremy, D (Eds), 2004. The Fibre that Changed the World. The Cotton Industry in International Perspective, 1600-1990s. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 521-554.
- Sun, J, 孙建昌, 1999 [2001]. 刘国钧与黄炎培 (Liu Guojun and Huang Yanpei). In LGWJ, Vol. 6 附录卷, 158-73.
- Wang, J, 王菊, 2004. 近代上海棉纺织业的最后辉煌 (The last splendor of Shanghai's modern cotton textile industry). 上海社会科学院出版社, Shanghai.
- Wang, L, 王亮伟, 2016. 国钩纪行 (The history of Liu Guojun). 凤凰出版社, Nanjing.
- Wu, T, 吴太昌 & Wu, L, 武力, 2012. 中国国家资本的历史分析 (Investigations of China's national capital). 中国社会科学院, Beijing.
- Wu, W, 吴文英, 1999. 辉煌的二十世纪新中国大记录, 纺织卷 (Memoirs of 20th Century China, Textiles). 红旗出版社, Beijing.
- Xu, D, 徐鼎新, 2005. 近代中国商业社会史迹追踪 (The historical track of modern Chinese business society). 香港天马出版有限公司, Hong Kong.
- Xu, D, 徐鼎新, 1996 [2005]. 世界棉王国 的苦心追求者,记常州大成纺织染公司总经理刘国 钧 (The world's king of cotton, remembering the hard-worker director of Dacheng in Changzhou, Liu Guojun). In Xu, D, 徐鼎新, 2005. 近代中国商业社会史迹追踪 (The historical track of modern Chinese business society).香港天马出版有限公司, Hong Kong, 372-381.
- Yang, K, 杨奎松, 2009. 中华人民共和国建国史研究 (Research on the History of the People's Republic of China). 江西人民出版社, Nanchang, 2 Vols.
- Zhao, W, 赵伟, 2011. 论近代中国后棉纺织小企业的纵向一体化战略,以常州广益布厂为例 (The vertical integration of China's cotton textile SME's. The case of Guangyi cloth factory). 贵州文史丛刊 1, 68-72.
- Zhong, S, 钟树杰, 2017. 刘国钧与常州现代化 (Liu Guojun and the modernization of Changzhou). 凤凰出版社, Nanjing.
- Zhu, X, 朱希武, 1948 [1983]. 考察南洋工商业报告书 (A Report on business possibilities of South East Asia). In Changzhou fangzhi shiliao, No. 2 常州纺织史料第二辑 (1983). 常州市纺织工业局编史修志办公室编, Changzhou, 134-60.

¹ Most of his writings and conferences are available in 6 volumes in *Liu guojun wenji* 刘国钧文集 (hereafter LGWJ) edited by Li Wenrui (2001a). All quoted articles and conferences also appear in the bibliography. This biographical sketch comes from his autobiography written in 1962 (see Liu 1962).

² Sixth Shareholder meeting of Dacheng Group, 1935, Changzhou Municipal Archives, E9-1-1935-3: 1-6. 大成公司第六届股东会营业报告书 (今 1935 年度资产负债等, 章程), 常州市档案馆,档号: E9-1-1935-3: 1-6.

³ Liu 1931: 1. Liu Guojun uses data of 1930, but when this text was published industrial spindles reached 4.9 million (2.7 Chinese, 2.0 Japanese and 0.2 other foreign ownership), see Chao 1977, 301-04.

⁴ The following chapter will be based on this article (Liu 1944) unless explicit reference is given.

⁵ Liu Guojun Diary, "陈光甫先生谈话" (Speaking with Chen Guangfu), 22 March 1945, LGRJ, 12.

⁶ Liu Guojun Diary, "政治应上轨道" (Politics should stay on track), 24 March 1945, LGRJ, 16.

⁷ Huang Yanpei Diary, 20 November to 4 December 1950, Huang Yanpei's diaries (黄炎培日记), see Huang 2012.

⁸ Jiangsu Federation of Industry and Commerce. List of members. Archives of the Jiangsu Federation of Industry and Commerce, Jiangsu Provincial Archives, No. 6002-001-0006. 江苏省工商业联合会筹备委员名单,江苏省工商联档案,江苏省档案馆,档案号:6002-001-0006.

⁹ Liu Guojun's speech at the Conference of Cotton Textile Technology, The China Democratic National Construction Association, Jiangsu Provincial Archives, 1957, File No. 3173-1-0048. 刘国钧副省长在 1957 年棉纺织技术工作会议上的讲话,1957, 民建江苏省委卷宗,江苏省档案馆, 档号:3173-1-0048.

¹⁰ Interview to Chen Hongchang, in Zhong, 2017, 134.