

## Citation for published version

Serrasolses, G., Calvet-Mir, L., Carrió, E., D'Ambrosio, U., Garnatje, T., Parada, M., Vallès, J. & Reyes-García, V. (2016). A matter of taste: local explanations for the consumption of wild food plants in the Catalan Pyrenees and the Balearic Islands. *Economic Botany*, 70(2), 176-189.

## DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12231-016-9343-1>

## Document Version

This is the Accepted Manuscript version.  
The version in the Universitat Oberta de Catalunya institutional repository, O2 may differ from the final published version.

## Copyright and Reuse

This manuscript version is made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial No Derivatives licence (CC-BY-NC-ND) <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/es>, which permits others to download it and share it with others as long as they credit you, but they can't change it in any way or use them commercially.

## Enquiries

If you believe this document infringes copyright, please contact the Research Team at: [repositori@uoc.edu](mailto:repositori@uoc.edu)



1 **A matter of taste: Local explanations for the consumption of wild food plants in the**  
2 **Catalan Pyrenees and the Balearic Islands**

3 **Short title:** Motivations for the consumption of wild edibles

4 **World count:** 7.763 (from abstract to figures, all included)

5

6 Ginesta Serrasolses<sup>a</sup>, Laura Calvet-Mir<sup>b,c</sup>, Esperança Carrió<sup>a</sup>, Ugo D'Ambrosio<sup>a,d</sup>,  
7 Teresa Garnatje<sup>d</sup>, Montse Parada<sup>a</sup>, Joan Vallès<sup>a</sup>, Victoria Reyes-García<sup>b,e</sup>

---

8 <sup>a</sup>Laboratori de Botànica - Unitat Associada CSIC, Facultat de Farmàcia, Universitat de  
9 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

10 <sup>b</sup>Institut de Ciència i Tecnologia Ambientals, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,  
11 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

12 <sup>c</sup>Internet Interdisciplinary Institute (IN3), Universitat Oberta de Catalunya, Barcelona,  
13 Catalonia, Spain

14 <sup>d</sup>Institut Botànic de Barcelona (IBB-CSIC-ICUB), Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

15 <sup>e</sup>Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

16

17 **Corresponding author:**

18 Victoria Reyes-García

19 ICREA Research Professor

20 Institute of Environmental Science and Technology (ICTA-UAB)

21 ICTA-ICP, Edifici Z

22 Carrer de les Columnes

23 Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

24 E-08193, Bellaterra (Cerdanyola del Vallès-Barcelona)

25 Tel: +34 93 586 8976

26 E-mail: [Victoria.reyes@uab.cat](mailto:Victoria.reyes@uab.cat)

27 **Abstract**

28           Previous research has documented different trends in the consumption of wild food  
29 plants but has rarely analyzed the motivations behind their continued (or lack of)  
30 consumption. In this article, we use empirical data to explore the factors driving the  
31 consumption of a selected set of wild food plants. We start by analyzing the different trends  
32 (i.e., abandonment, maintenance, and valorization) across 21 selected species with different  
33 food uses. We then explore the reported motivations that drive such trends using data  
34 collected among 354 respondents in three Catalan-speaking rural areas. The consumption of  
35 wild food plants is decreasing in the three study areas and across the categories of food use  
36 analyzed. Respondents listed sociocultural factors, rather than environmental or economic  
37 factors, as more prominent determinants of consumption trends: taste preferences seem to  
38 be the most relevant motivation for those who continue to consume wild food plants,  
39 whereas a myriad of motivations related to changes in lifestyle were provided by those who  
40 explain the abandonment of their consumption.

41

42 **Key words:** Edible wild plants; ethnobotany; motivations; quantitative analysis; Spain

43

44 **Cuestión de gusto: ¿Qué explica el consumo de plantas silvestres? Estudio en los**  
45 **Pirineos catalanes y las Islas Baleares**

46

47 **Resumen**

48           Se han documentado diferentes tendencias en el consumo de plantas silvestres  
49 comestibles, pero raramente se han analizado las razones que explican por qué algunas  
50 plantas se siguen consumiendo y otras no. En base a una selección de plantas silvestres  
51 comestibles, en este artículo exploramos los factores que explican las tendencias en el  
52 consumo de plantas silvestres. En la primera parte analizamos las tendencias de consumo  
53 (abandono, mantenimiento y valorización) de un grupo de 21 especies con diferentes usos  
54 alimentarios y en la segunda exploramos las motivaciones esgrimidas por 354 habitantes de  
55 tres áreas rurales catalanoparlantes en relación a estas tendencias. El consumo de plantas  
56 silvestres parece haber sufrido una reducción generalizada en las áreas prospectadas. Para  
57 todas las especies, los encuestados mencionaron factores socioculturales, más que  
58 ambientales o económicos, como importantes a la hora de explicar sus patrones de  
59 consumo. Específicamente, el sabor parece ser el principal argumento para aquellos que  
60 continúan consumiendo plantas silvestres mientras que una combinación de motivos  
61 relacionados con cambios en estilos de vida predominan entre las explicaciones de aquellos  
62 que han abandonado su consumo.

63 **Introduction**

64 Over the last decades, wild food plants (including semi-wild and naturalized taxa)  
65 (hereafter WFP) have been widely studied, both in developing (Joshi et al., 2015;  
66 Teklehaymanot and Giday, 2010; Termote et al., 2010) and developed countries (Ghirardini  
67 et al., 2007; Poe et al., 2013; Rigat et al., 2009). In addition to providing inventories and  
68 descriptive accounts, such studies have also shown: a) the contribution of WFP to  
69 household food security (Bharucha and Pretty, 2010; Bonet and Vallès, 2002) and local  
70 economy (Łuczaj et al., 2013; Shackleton, 2003); b) their nutritional value (Heinrich et al.,  
71 2005; Pardo-de-Santayana et al., 2007; Vardavas et al., 2006); and c) their relevance for  
72 biodiversity conservation (Demissew, 2011; Paton and Lughadha, 2011).

73 Researchers have documented changes in the consumption of WFP both in  
74 developing countries (Bhattarai et al., 2009; Cruz-García, 2014; Joshi et al., 2015) and -  
75 more intensively- in the developed world, where the mechanization of agricultural  
76 production and the increasing availability of foods through market transactions have greatly  
77 affected the consumption and gathering of WFP (Reyes-García et al., 2015; Rigat et al.,  
78 2009; Tardío et al., 2006). Nowadays, most WFP seem to be only sparsely collected in rural  
79 areas of developed countries (Bharucha and Pretty, 2010; Reyes-García et al., 2015; Schulp  
80 et al., 2014). This general trend does not affect all WFP equally, and thus the gathering of  
81 some specific plant species has gained in popularity. For example, some authors have  
82 argued that some WFP are becoming local delicatessens and markers of cultural identity  
83 (Aceituno-Mata, 2010; Kalle and Soukand, 2013), some are entering commercial circuits  
84 (Acosta-Naranjo, 2008; Łuczaj et al., 2012; Molina et al., 2012), and some are so  
85 appreciated to be collected in unconventional spaces such as urban parks (Poe et al., 2013).

86           These examples have led researchers to hypothesize that WFP consumption could  
87 follow, at least, three different paths: abandonment, maintenance, and valorization  
88 (Aceituno-Mata, 2010; Acosta-Naranjo, 2008; Pardo-de-Santayana et al., 2007).  
89 Abandonment refers to the decrease in WFP consumption. For example, in the southern  
90 Italian village of Castelmazzano, Pieroni et al. (2005) found that the ancient practice of  
91 eating the roasted kernels of *Quercus virgiliana* (Ten.) Ten. (a synonym of *Quercus*  
92 *pubescens* Willd.), has been discontinued. Maintenance refers to the lack of observable  
93 changes when comparing the current consumption of a specific WFP with its consumption  
94 in the recent past. And valorization refers to the increase when comparing the current  
95 consumption of a specific WFP with its consumption in the recent past, as for example the  
96 case of *Allium ursinum* L. and *Epilobium angustifolium* L. in Poland, where these wild  
97 species are nowadays largely sold in health food stores (Łuczaj et al., 2012).

98           Although researchers have documented changes in WFP consumption, few offer  
99 explanations for the changes (see Schunko et al., 2015 for a recent exception), and less so at  
100 the level of the species. *A priori*, one could categorize the factors that drive the  
101 consumption of WFP (or their abandonment) as environmental, economic and  
102 sociocultural. Environmental factors refer to WFP ecological abundance or scarcity;  
103 economic factors refer to material costs and benefits associated with the collection and  
104 consumption of WFP; and sociocultural factors refer to cultural considerations, such as  
105 associating WFP consumption with famine, better health, preferred taste, or enjoyable pass  
106 time.

107           The goal of this article is to identify factors driving abandonment, maintenance, or  
108 valorization of some WFP. We start by analyzing the different trends (i.e., abandonment,  
109 maintenance, and valorization) across selected species and different food-use categories

110 and then we explore the reported motivations that might drive such trends. Understanding  
111 people's motivation to consume WFP or not is essential not only for understanding why  
112 WFP continue to be gathered, but also for predicting gathering trends in relation to certain  
113 species.

114

### 115 **Case studies**

116 Our study examines three Catalan-speaking regions: Alta Vall del Ter (AVT) and  
117 Alt Empordà (AE) in northeastern Iberian Peninsula, and eastern Mallorca (EM) in the  
118 Balearic Islands (Fig. 1).

119

#### INSERT FIGURE 1

120 The Alta Vall del Ter valley, an area of about 294 km<sup>2</sup>, is located on the southern  
121 flanks of the Pyrenees in the Ripollès district. Its population is 4,497 (IDESCAT, 2014).  
122 Hydrographically, the valley corresponds to the watersheds of the Ter and Ritort rivers  
123 (Rigat et al., 2009). The vegetation of the area is shaped by the climatic conditions of  
124 mountainous area. Although the nival stage is rare, i.e., snow is rarely found all year round,  
125 abundant representative species of the alpine (e.g., *Festuca* sp. and other grasses) and  
126 subalpine stages (e.g., *Pinus mugo* Turra subsp. *Uncinata* (Ramond ex DC. in Lam. et DC.)  
127 *Domin* and *Abies alba* Mill.) are common. Raising livestock is the most important  
128 economic activity in the area. Nowadays, most arable surface (including home gardens) has  
129 been reclaimed to build secondary residences and tourist facilities (Rigat et al., 2009).  
130 Previous research on WFP in the area has documented 84 taxa used for human  
131 consumption, mostly eaten raw, although some species were also used as condiments or in  
132 the elaboration of jams or alcoholic beverages (such as *ratafia*, a traditional liquor of  
133 Catalonia) (Rigat et al., 2009). According to the aforementioned work, commonly used

134 wild or naturalised species include *Cynara cardunculus* L., *Laurus nobilis* L., *Mentha*  
135 *spicata* L., *Molopospermum peloponnesiacum* (L.) W.D.J.Koch, *Origanum vulgare* L.,  
136 *Taraxacum dissectum* (Ledeb.) Ledeb., *Thymus vulgaris* L., and *Urtica dioica* L.

137 Alt Empordà is the easternmost district of northern Catalonia, opening eastwards to  
138 the Mediterranean Sea, limited northwards by the French Pyrenees and westwards by the  
139 Garrotxa district, with low-level mountain ranges that connect with the Pyrenees (Parada et  
140 al., 2011). It is one of the largest districts in Catalonia with around 141,351 inhabitants who  
141 live in 68 municipalities in an area of 1,358 km<sup>2</sup> (IDESCAT, 2014). With a coastal  
142 Mediterranean climate, the area is affected by the strong northerly wind called *tramuntana*.  
143 Biogeographically, the flora and vegetation correspond to the Mediterranean region (e.g.,  
144 *Quercus ilex* L. and *Q. suber* L.), but it also contains species typical of Eurosiberian regions  
145 (e.g., deciduous *Quercus* sp. and *Fagus sylvatica* L.). Historically, the most important  
146 economic activity of the area was agriculture, although since the 18<sup>th</sup> century, industry  
147 (especially related with cork) grew in importance. Over the last decades, and despite the  
148 recent economic crisis, tourism -especially on the seaside- has become the main economic  
149 activity. Researchers have recorded 211 species used for human consumption (Parada,  
150 2007; Parada et al., 2011), among which the most common are *Juglans regia* L., *Chondrilla*  
151 *junceae* L., *Asparagus acutifolius* L., *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. and *Silene vulgaris*  
152 (Moench) Garcke.

153 The last study area was located in Mallorca, the largest island of the Balearic  
154 archipelago, east of the Iberian Peninsula. Mallorca has a total population of 858.313  
155 habitants (IBESTAT, 2014) in 3,622 km<sup>2</sup>. The landscape of the island belongs to the  
156 Mediterranean biogeographical region, with presence of *Quercus ilex* and associated  
157 communities. The area is also characterized by the important influence of the marine

158 habitat (with seaweeds and land plants, such as *Crithmum maritimum* L.). Before the tourist  
159 boom, agriculture and services were the fundamental economic activities of the island, but  
160 since the 1960's, it experienced an intense economic growth based nearly exclusively on  
161 tourism (Mayol and Machado, 1992). Mallorca is now one of the most famous tourist  
162 hotspots in Europe. The study conducted by Carrió (2013) compiled 65 wild food plants  
163 used in Mallorca, the most common being *Foeniculum vulgare* Mill., *Arbutus unedo* L.,  
164 *Laurus nobilis*, *Rubus ulmifolius* Schott and *Cichorium intybus* L.

165

## 166 **Methods**

167 Fieldwork expanded from July 2012 to March 2013, included two phases, and  
168 followed the guidelines of the code of ethics of the International Society of Ethnobiology.  
169 In each of the two phases of study, we followed different sampling strategies. In the first  
170 phase we used semi-structured interviews (Newing, 2011) to collect data on past and  
171 present uses of WFP, as well as information on the connotations associated to the  
172 gathering, consumption and commercialization of such plants. Data on past and present  
173 uses of WFP have been published by Rigat et al. (2009) from AVT, by Parada et al. (2009)  
174 from AE, and by Carrió (2013) from EM. In the second phase, we used information from  
175 these interviews to construct a survey addressing past and present consumption of selected  
176 WFP and motivations for WFP consumption (or abandonment).

177 For the purpose of this study, we use the term “wild” to refer to wild native species  
178 growing in their natural habitat, but also to managed as well as introduced species that have  
179 been naturalized. As our study centers on local perceptions, we also included trees and

180 shrubs that were planted long ago and are now harvested as if they were wild, like *Juglans*  
181 *regia*.

### 182 *Sample of participants*

183 Our total sample for all three sites included 354 respondents, recruited in 30  
184 different villages or small towns of the three study areas. People were approached at public  
185 areas (i.e., parks or bars). After approaching a person, we first explained the goal of the  
186 study and requested consent to ask some questions. Given that randomization was not  
187 feasible, the sample was stratified according to criteria that might affect use and  
188 consumption of WFP. Specifically, in each site we included women and men, adults of  
189 different age ranges ( $\geq 16 \leq 40$ ,  $\geq 41 \leq 60$ , and  $\geq 61$ ), and people with different occupations  
190 (including students, farmers, unemployed people, and people working in construction,  
191 domestic service, industry, tourism, or liberal professions) (Table 1).

192 INSERT TABLE 1

### 193 *Species selection*

194 Survey questions referred to seven wild food species specific to each study area.  
195 Therefore, in total we asked about the use of 21 plants (with some overlap between areas).  
196 To increase the comparability of the results, the seven species were chosen using the  
197 following criteria: i) all selected species have a prominent food use (rather than medicinal  
198 or other uses); ii) the selected plant species had to be collected or bought in the area, but not  
199 largely commercialized; and iii) each questionnaire included at least one species from each  
200 of the following categories of use defined by Tardío et al. (2006), i.e., vegetables (or  
201 species in which any of the vegetative parts is consumed raw or cooked), fruits (or species  
202 in which the fresh or dry fruit is eaten, raw or cooked), beverages (or species in which any

203 part is used to prepare liquor or infusions), and seasonings (or species in which any part is  
204 used for food seasoning). Some WFP have several edible uses, but we only considered the  
205 most common one. For example, we asked about the stems of *Foeniculum vulgare*, but not  
206 about its seeds, which in some areas are also used as seasoning. Vouchers of all taxa used  
207 in this study are deposited in the herbarium BCN, of the Centre de Documentació de  
208 Biodiversitat Vegetal, Universitat de Barcelona.

209         The final survey is based in a total of 21 wild food-uses (where the same use in a  
210 different area is counted twice) (Table 2). We compare WFP that represent the same  
211 category of use (Table 2). For example, each survey included a species that has been  
212 traditionally used to elaborate alcoholic beverages: in AE and AVT the complete and  
213 immature raw fruits of *Juglans regia* (walnuts) are used to elaborate *ratafia* and in EM the  
214 shoots of *Foeniculum vulgare* are used to prepare *herbes*, both traditional alcoholic drinks  
215 elaborated with aromatic plants. Each survey also included two WFP whose fruits could be  
216 consumed raw: *Rubus ulmifolius* was included in the three surveys and *Arbutus unedo* in  
217 AE, *Fragaria vesca* L. in AVT and *Quercus ilex* in EM. Similarly, two WFP used for  
218 seasoning were included in each survey: *Cynara cardunculus*, common across the three  
219 sites, and *Origanum vulgare* in AE and AVT and *Crithmum maritimum* in EM. Finally, two  
220 more WFP were included as vegetables: the young leaves of *Reichardia picroides* (L.)  
221 Roth, *Taraxacum dissectum* and *Cichorium intybus* are eaten raw as salad and *Foeniculum*  
222 *vulgare*, *Carlina acanthifolia* All. and *Chamaerops humilis* L. are eaten as snacks. Two  
223 species (*Rubus ulmifolius* and *Cynara cardunculus*) were included in the surveys conducted  
224 in the three areas and two more (*Juglans regia* and *Origanum vulgare*) were common to  
225 both continental areas. *Foeniculum vulgare* was included in the surveys of AE and EM, but  
226 representing different categories of use. The remaining species are specific to each area.

227

## INSERT TABLE 2

228 *Survey*

229         The survey addressed three main topics: 1) participant's socioeconomic information  
230 (e.g., sex, age, occupation); 2) past and present consumption of selected WFP; and 3)  
231 motivations for consumption (or abandonment) of WFP. To enquire about past and present  
232 consumption of selected WFP, we showed a visual stimulus where the food part could be  
233 easily recognized. When possible, we used the fresh plant, but when not available, we used  
234 a herbarium specimen or pictures. Respondents were asked to identify the species by their  
235 Catalan name, the language most frequently used during interviews. To reduce biases  
236 associated to problems recognizing the visual stimulus, if the informant could not identify  
237 the species, we provided the local name of the WFP and asked again if the person knew it.  
238 If the person could recognize the plant, either from the stimulus or when the name was  
239 provided, then we asked about its uses. If the person could not recognize the plant or did  
240 not mention its food-use, we moved to the next item in the survey. If the plant was  
241 recognized and the food-use listed, we asked: "Have you eaten the plant in the last 12  
242 months?" and "Had you eaten it in the past?"

243         Questions on motivations for consumption depended on previous answers. If the  
244 respondent reported having consumed the WFP during the last 12 months, then we asked:  
245 "Why do you consume this plant?" However, if the respondent reported having consumed  
246 the plant in the past, but not in the 12 months previous to the survey, then we asked: "Why  
247 did you not consume this plant anymore?" In case the survey respondent did never consume  
248 the plant, we asked: "Why have you never consumed this plant?" We noted *verbatim*  
249 answers to those different questions.

250 *Data analysis*

251 Data were aggregated at the WFP level, where each food-use per area corresponds  
252 to one observation (n=21=7 plants \*3 areas). To analyze the different WFP consumption  
253 trends we used responses to questions about present and past WFP consumption.  
254 Specifically, we created two variables: the variable *ever consumed* refers to the percentage  
255 of people who had ever consumed the species, either currently or in the past; the variable  
256 *consumption change* refers to the difference between the percentage of informants who  
257 consume a species now minus those who consumed it in the past. We used the value of the  
258 variable *consumption change* to assess consumption trends defined as ‘abandonment’ when  
259 the value of *consumption change* was lower than -10%; ‘maintenance’ when the value was  
260 between -10% and 10%; and ‘valorization’ when the value of the variable was higher than  
261 10%. To qualify how noticeable is the trend, we talk about a *slight* change when the value  
262 of *consumption change* is between 10% and 40% and about a *deep* change when is higher  
263 than 40%.

264 In the second part of the analysis, we explored the factors that might drive such  
265 trends using information from the third part of the survey. We first clustered similar  
266 responses and assigned them a code. For example, answers such as “we used to eat them  
267 because they were free” and “there is no need to buy vegetables, you can get them free  
268 from nature” were both coded as “it is free.” The coded motivations were then classified  
269 according to two criteria: direction and type. Direction refers to whether the motivation  
270 listed explains why the consumption of the species was continued or discontinued and type  
271 refers to whether the motivation listed was environmental, economic, or sociocultural.  
272 Since the consumption of WFP can be driven by several motivations, and since some  
273 motivations can potentially be classified in more than one type, before doing the

274 classification, we agreed on the meaning of each category using the Delphi method  
275 (Linstone and Turoff, 2002). The agreement reached was to include under environmental  
276 motivations mentions to environmental conditions such as climate, species abundance or  
277 scarcity, and seasonality; under economic motivations reasons such as commercial value or  
278 monetary and time-related costs associated to the harvesting and preparation of WFP; and  
279 under sociocultural motivations explanations that respond to cultural perceptions, such as  
280 use associated to particular activities or of given cultural significance.

## 281 **Results**

### 282 *Trends in the consumption of WFP*

283 More than 50% of people answering the survey in each site had *ever consumed* most  
284 of the WFP in our lists. Exceptions are *Taraxacum dissectum*, which had only been  
285 consumed by 30% of informants in AVT, and *Cichorium intybus* only consumed by 40% of  
286 informants in EM. Overall, 16 out of the 21 WFP in the survey had been consumed by  
287 more than 75% of people interviewed.

288 The analysis of the variable *consumption change* suggests a significant general  
289 decrease in the consumption of WFP (Table 3). According to our classification, 10 of the  
290 plant-uses included in the survey seem to have experienced a deep abandonment (*difference*  
291  $< -40\%$ ), eight seem to have experienced a slight abandonment (*difference*  $\geq -40\%$  &  $\leq -$   
292  $10\%$ ), and three seem to be maintained (*difference*  $\geq -10\%$  &  $\leq 10\%$ ).

293 **INSERT TABLE 3**

294 Two of the three WFP that seem to be maintained are used to elaborate beverages.  
295 Thus, the use of *Juglans regia* to elaborate *ratafia* continues alive in AE and AVT  
296 (*consumption change*  $\geq -10\%$  in both cases), whereas the use of *Foeniculum vulgare* to

297 elaborate *herbes* in EM seems to have experienced a slight abandonment (*consumption*  
298 *change*=-14%).

299 Overall, WFP included as *fruits* seem to have been very popular in the past, as they  
300 have reportedly been consumed by most people interviewed (>75%). However, the  
301 relatively high values of the variable *consumption change* (<-35%) signal the steep  
302 abandonment of the consumption of WFP in this category. This is the case even for the  
303 very popular *Rubus ulmifolius*, with values of *consumption change* ranging between -39%  
304 and -45%.

305 Among the WFP included in the category of *seasoning*, *Origanum vulgare*  
306 continues to be largely consumed in AE (*consumption change*=-2.2%), but the use is  
307 slightly abandoned in AVT (-20.2%). The equivalent species in EM, *Crithmum maritimum*,  
308 seems to have been very popular in the past (with 97% of people reporting its use), but its  
309 use have been deeply abandoned nowadays (*consumption change*= -44%). Differently, the  
310 use of *Cynara cardunculus* for seasoning seems to have been popular only in AVT (where  
311 90% of informants report its use), but it seems now largely abandoned in the three study  
312 areas.

313 Overall, the consumption of the six WFP included as vegetables seems to be  
314 decreasing too, although it is worth noticing that some of the uses in this category were  
315 never very popular (i.e., two species in this food use category displaying the lowest values  
316 in the variable *ever consumed*). Nevertheless, the values of the variable *consumption*  
317 *change* suggest that all the WFP in this category have experienced some level of  
318 abandonment, a trend that is similar for vegetables eaten as snack, such as *Foeniculum*  
319 *vulgare* in AE (*consumption change* =-38.8%) and *Carlina acanthifolia* (-42.1%), and for



344 Thus, the most common arguments given to explain WFP consumption (56.8%) or  
345 abandonment (37%) were sociocultural. Furthermore, among the sociocultural  
346 explanations, informant's appreciation of the flavor and aroma of WFP was the most  
347 frequently cited motivation (44.3% of all the answers).

348 Interestingly, the second most popular category refers to exactly the opposite: 6.4%  
349 of all responses correspond to informants who have abandoned the consumption of WFP  
350 because they did not like their taste. Other explanations given for the abandonment of the  
351 consumption of WFP include not going to the field or the mountains where WFP grow  
352 (5.2%), lack of sufficient knowledge to recognize the plant in the field (5.2%), or the  
353 consideration that it as a children's food (2.5%) (Table 5).

354 Apart from their taste, explanations of why people continue to consume WFP  
355 included the association of the gathering of WFP with other activities (e.g., walking on the  
356 mountains) or celebrations (2.9%) and the medicinal (2.8%) or health-related (2.2%)  
357 properties of the WFP selected.

358 The type and direction of the motivations did not vary substantially between the  
359 three case studies, with some concrete exceptions. For example, some explanations were  
360 only relevant in one of the study sites. Thus, in AE 1.2% of the explanations referred to the  
361 cited the rough texture of WFP, in AVT 1.9% related to the lack of time, and in EM 1.7%  
362 of the explanations referred to the fact that the WFP mentioned were considered fodder.

363

## 364 **Discussion**

365 Various authors have claimed that in modern industrial Europe there is a  
366 valorization of the consumption of wild edible foods (Schunko et al., 2015) resulting of the  
367 growing interest in incorporating wild food plants into the diet and on local cuisines

368 (Acosta-Naranjo, 2008; Łuczaj, 2012). Such valorization trend has been also documented in  
369 the consumption of certain wild species in some areas of the Iberian Peninsula (Aceituno-  
370 Mata, 2010; Parada et al., 2011; Rigat et al., 2009). Results from the work presented here,  
371 however, do not confirm this finding. Rather, findings from this work suggest that, in the  
372 selected study areas, there is an overall decreasing trend in the consumption of WFP. The  
373 trend seems irrespectively of the food use given to the species.

374         It is possible that methodological and sampling differences explain the mismatch  
375 between our results and results from previous research. Thus, the above-cited works consist  
376 on compilations of ethnobotanical information, which report valorization of certain species  
377 based on qualitative data. While qualitative information might provide nuanced and expert  
378 information, it does not allow to quantifying changes for a given species, as it has been  
379 done in the present work. Furthermore, it is also possible that the contradictory findings  
380 point to sampling selection differences. Thus, qualitative work conducted with targeted  
381 groups of respondents such as knowledgeable elders or WFP consumers can only provide  
382 information from the selected groups. The results presented here have a more general  
383 character, as the sample –without being statistically representative- included sectors of the  
384 population not necessarily targeted in conventional ethnobotanical studies. So, while it is  
385 possible that a specific WFP is revalorized, or that the overall value of WFP grows among  
386 specific groups, our results suggest that this trend is not generalizable neither to the  
387 diversity of WFP nor to the general population. We argue that future work aiming at  
388 quantifying overall trends in the consumption of WFP should use a larger number of WFP  
389 and a statistically significant sample of the population.

390         With those caveats in mind, we discuss the second important finding of this work:  
391 that the decreasing trend in WFP consumption is mostly driven by sociocultural, rather than

392 by environmental or economic factors. Previous authors have provided evidence of the  
393 importance of sociocultural motivations in explaining the consumption of WFP (i.e.,  
394 Łuczaj, 2010; Pieroni, 2001). For example, Pardo-de-Santayana et al. (2007) documented  
395 that in Piloña (northwestern Spain) people had stopped eating *Nasturtium officinale* R. Br.,  
396 which they associated with times of starvation; and Pieroni (2001) reported that older  
397 generations of Italians regarded eating wild greens as particularly healthy. In the same vein,  
398 recent work has found that WFP providing cultural services, such as recreation and sense of  
399 place, being markers of cultural identity, or present in culinary traditions are less likely to  
400 experience a consumption decrease than WFP that do not provide such cultural services  
401 (Schulp et al., 2014, Reyes-García et al., 2015, Schunko et al., 2015).

402         While our finding is not new, the novelty of our work lies in the ability to provide a  
403 quantification of arguments across the different species selected. Based on qualitative data,  
404 researchers have highlighted elements such as the importance that some food wild plants  
405 play as childhood food (Kalle and Soukand, 2013), or the negative connotations associated  
406 with WFP consumption, which in some areas are considered famine foods (i.e., Kalle and  
407 Soukand, 2013; Cruz-García, 2014). Interestingly, although such motivations were  
408 sporadically mentioned by our informants, they were not the most frequently cited. Rather,  
409 our results indicate that changes in lifestyles and habits concentrate a large number of  
410 explanations of why people are abandoning the consumption of the selected WFP. Thus,  
411 some respondents argued that, in the past, they used to eat or gather WFP when going to the  
412 fields for another activity (e.g., agriculture or keeping livestock). The fading of these  
413 activities reduces people's chances to go to places where WFP are found. This is the case,  
414 for example, of *Carlina acanthifolia*, which was specially consumed by shepherds, farmers  
415 and children (who usually were in charge of the livestock). Or the case of *Cynara*

416 *cardunculus*, which was traditionally used to process milk in order to obtain cheese; as  
417 nowadays most people buy cheese, the species is not used anymore, except in AE, where a  
418 local cheese producer has reintroduced its use.

419         One last important finding deserves discussion. While respondents provided a  
420 myriad of answers to explain why they have abandoned WFP consumption, they were  
421 rather consistent in their responses to explain the maintenance of WFP consumptions:  
422 people who continue to consume WFP do so because they like their taste. Such results  
423 resonate with findings from research in Italy suggesting that taste is a main factor involved  
424 in the prevalence of consumption of wild food plants (Ghirardini et al., 2007) and with  
425 findings regarding the consumption of landraces grown in home gardens in the Pyrenees  
426 (Calvet-Mir et al., 2011). If, as several authors have pointed, taste is culturally developed  
427 (Ghirardini et al., 2007; Lewis, 1988), our finding contributes to reinforce the importance  
428 of examining the links between local cultures and the different elements of their  
429 environments.

430

## 431 **Conclusion**

432         The work presented here aimed at finding general trends and exploring the relative  
433 importance of the different motivations behind the consumption or abandonment of WFP.  
434 Overall, our work supplements the limited pool of current ethnobotanical literature on WFP  
435 transitions through documenting and understanding how different plants and uses change  
436 over space and time especially in the light of a generalized abandonment of their usage. It  
437 also provides a deeper understanding of transformations through detailing the changing  
438 ethnobotanical systems surrounding the studied areas, a research subject which is virtually

439 non-existent in postindustrial societies. Importantly, this kind of analysis is ideally suited to  
440 raising public understanding of the significance of sociocultural parameters to the study of  
441 the diversity and complexity in ethnobiological contexts.

442 While the aim of this work was to map general trends and motivations behind the  
443 consumption of WFP, it is possible that such motivations play a different role across  
444 different sectors of the population (as Schunko et al., 2015 suggest). While the sample used  
445 for this work is too fragmented to systematically explore the issue, we argue that this line of  
446 research is worth pursuing in future works.

447

#### 448 **Acknowledgements**

449 The present work has been conducted under the framework of the project “Sociocultural  
450 factors that explain the gathering and consumption of food wild plants and minor crops.  
451 Case studies in the Iberian peninsula and the Balearic Islands”, funded by the Economy and  
452 Competitiveness Ministry (CSO2011-27565) of the Spanish government. The contribution  
453 of Generalitat de Catalunya is also acknowledged (project 2014SGR514). E.C. benefited  
454 from a predoctoral contract from the Spanish Ministry for Education. We thank M. Mayans,  
455 A. Noguera and C. Prat for their help in data collection, Marta Borrós for cartographical  
456 design, and three anonymous reviewers for comments to a previous version of this  
457 manuscript.

458 **References**

- 459 Aceituno-Mata, L., 2010. Estudio etnobotánico y agroecológico de la Sierra Norte de  
460 Madrid. PhD Thesis. Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid.
- 461 Acosta-Naranjo, R., 2008. Dehesas de la sobremodernidad. La cadencia y el vértigo.  
462 Diputación de Badajoz, Badajoz.
- 463 Bharucha, Z., Pretty, J., 2010. The roles and values of wild foods in agricultural systems.  
464 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 365, 2913-2926.
- 465 Bhattarai, S., Chaudhary, R.P., Taylor, R.S.L., 2009. Wild edible plants used by people of  
466 Manang District, Central Nepal. *Ecology of Food & Nutrition* 48, 1-20.
- 467 Bonet, M.À., Vallès, J., 2002. Use of non-crop food vascular plants in Montseny biosphere  
468 reserve (Catalonia, Iberian Peninsula). *International Journal of Food Sciences and*  
469 *Nutrition* 53, 225-248.
- 470 Calvet-Mir, L., Calvet-Mir, M., Vaqué-Nuñez, L., Reyes-García, V., 2011. Landraces in  
471 situ Conservation: A Case Study in High-Mountain Home Gardens in Vall Fosca,  
472 Catalan Pyrenees, Iberian Peninsula. *Economic Botany* 65, 146-157.
- 473 Carrió, E., 2013. Contribució a l'etnobotànica de Mallorca. La biodiversitat vegetal i la  
474 seva gestió en una illa mediterrània. PhD Thesis. Universitat de Barcelona.
- 475 Cruz-García, G. S., 2014. Symbol of poverty? Children's evaluation of wild food plants in  
476 Wayanad, India *Plants and People: Choices and Diversity through Time. Early*  
477 *Agricultural Remnants and Technical Heritage (EARTH): 8,000 Years of Resilience*  
478 *and Innovation (Vol. 1, pp. 421–427): Oxbow Books, Oxford.*
- 479 Demissew, S., 2011. How has Government policy post-Global Strategy for Plant  
480 Conservation impacted on science? The Ethiopian perspective. *Botanical Journal of*  
481 *the Linnean Society* 166, 310-325.

- 482 Ghirardini, M.P., et al., 2007. The importance of a taste. A comparative study on wild food  
483 plant consumption in twenty-one local communities in Italy. *Journal of*  
484 *Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine* 3:22.
- 485 Heinrich, M., Leonti, M., Nebel, S., Peschel, W., 2005. "Local Food- Nutraceuticals": An  
486 example of a multidisciplinary research project on local knowledge. *Journal of*  
487 *Physiology and Pharmacology* 56, 5-22.
- 488 IBESTAT, 2014. Institut d'Estadística de les Illes Balears. <http://www.ibestat.caib.es/>
- 489 IDESCAT, 2014. Institut Català d'Estadística. [www.idescat.cat](http://www.idescat.cat).
- 490 Joshi, N., Siwakoti, M. and Kehlenbeck, K., 2015. Wild Vegetable Species in Makawanpur  
491 District, Central Nepal: Developing a Priority Setting Approach for Domestication  
492 to Improve Food Security. *Economic Botany*, 69(2), 161-170.
- 493 Kalle, R., Soukand, R., 2013. Wild plants eaten in childhood: a retrospective of Estonia in  
494 the 1970s-1990s. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 172, 239-253.
- 495 Lewis, D.E., 1988. Gustatory subversion and the evolution of nutritional dependency in  
496 Kiribati. *Food and Foodways* 3(1), 79-98.
- 497 Linstone, H.A., Turoff, M., 2002. *The Delphi method. Techniques and Applications.*  
498 Portland State University, Portland.
- 499 Łuczaj, L., 2010. Changes in the utilization of wild green vegetables in Poland since the  
500 19th century: A comparison of four ethnobotanical surveys. *Journal of*  
501 *Ethnopharmacology* 128, 395-404.
- 502 Łuczaj, L., 2012. Ethnobotanical review of wild edible plants of Slovakia. *Acta Societatis*  
503 *Botanicorum Poloniae* 81, 245-255.
- 504 Łuczaj, L., Pieroni, A., Tardío, J., Pardo-de-Santayana, M., Soukand, R., Svanberg, I.,  
505 Kalle, R., 2012. Wild food plant use in 21st century Europe: the disappearance of

- 506 old traditions and the search for new cuisines involving wild edibles. *Acta Societatis*  
507 *Botanicorum Poloniae* 81, 359-370.
- 508 Łuczaj, Ł., Zovko Končić, M., Miličević, T., Dolina, K., Pandža, M., 2013. Wild vegetable  
509 mixes sold in the markets of Dalmatia (southern Croatia). *Journal of Ethnobiology*  
510 and *Ethnomedicine* 9:2.
- 511 Mayol, J., Machado, A., 1992. *Medi ambient, ecologia i turisme a les Illes Balears*.  
512 Editorial Moll, Palma de Mallorca.
- 513 Molina, M., Pardo-de-Santayana, M., García, E., Aceituno-Mata, L., Morales, R., Tardío,  
514 J., 2012. Exploring the potential of wild food resources in the Mediterranean region:  
515 natural yield and gathering pressure of *Asparagus acutifolius* L. *Spanish Journal of*  
516 *Agricultural Research* 10, 1090-1100.
- 517 Newing, H., 2011. *Conducting research in conservation. Social science methods and*  
518 *practice*. Routledge, London.
- 519 Parada, M., 2007. *Estudi Etnobotànic de l'Alt Empordà*, PhD Thesis. Universitat de  
520 Barcelona, Barcelona.
- 521 Parada, M., Carrió, E., Bonet, M.À., Vallès, J., 2009. Ethnobotany of the Alt Emporda  
522 region (Catalonia, Iberian Peninsula) Plants used in human traditional medicine.  
523 *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 124, 609-618.
- 524 Parada, M., Carrió, E., Vallès, J., 2011. Ethnobotany of food plants in the Alt Emporda  
525 region (Catalonia, Iberian Peninsula). *Journal of Applied Botany and Food Quality-*  
526 *Angewandte Botanik* 84, 11-25.
- 527 Pardo-de-Santayana, M., Tardío, J., Blanco, E., Carvalho, A.M., Lastra, J.J., San Miguel,  
528 E., Morales, R., 2007. Traditional knowledge of wild edible plants used in the

- 529 northwest of the Iberian Peninsula (Spain and Portugal): a comparative study.  
530 *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine* 3.
- 531 Paton, A., Lughadha, E.N., 2011. The irresistible target meets the unachievable objective:  
532 what have 8 years of GSPC implementation taught us about target setting and  
533 achievable objectives? *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 166, 250-260.
- 534 Pieroni, A., 2001. Evaluation of the cultural significance of wild food botanicals  
535 traditionally consumed in Northwestern Tuscany, Italy. *Journal of Ethnobiology* 21,  
536 89-104.
- 537 Pieroni, A., Nebel, S., Santoro, R.F., Heinrich, M., 2005. Food for two seasons: Culinary  
538 uses of non-cultivated local vegetables and mushrooms in a south Italian village.  
539 *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition* 56, 245-272.
- 540 Poe, M.R., McLain, R.J., Emery, M., Hurley, P.T., 2013. Urban forest and the rights to wild  
541 foods, medicines, and materials in the city. *Human Ecology* 41, 409-422.
- 542 Reyes-García, V., et al., 2015. From famine foods to delicatessen: Interpreting trends in the  
543 consumption and gathering of wild edible plants through their connection to cultural  
544 ecosystem services. *Ecological Economics* 12, 303-311.
- 545 Rigat, M., Bonet, M.À., García, S., Garnatje, T., Vallès, J., 2009. Ethnobotanical studies in  
546 the high river Ter valley (Pyrenees, Catalonia, Iberian Peninsula). Non-crop food  
547 vascular plants and crop food plants with medicinal properties. *Ecology of Food and*  
548 *Nutrition* 48, 303-326.
- 549 Schulp, C.J.E., Thuiller, W., Verburg, P.H., 2014. Wild food in Europe: A synthesis of  
550 knowledge and data of terrestrial wild food as an ecosystem service. *Ecological*  
551 *Economics* 105, 292-305.

- 552 Schunko, C., Grasser, S., & Vogl, C. R., 2015. Explaining the resurgent popularity of the  
553 wild: motivations for wild plant gathering in the Biosphere Reserve Grosses  
554 Walsertal, Austria. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, 11(1), 1.
- 555 Shackleton, C.M., 2003. The prevalence of use and value of wild edible herbs in South  
556 Africa. *South African Journal of Science* 99, 23-25.
- 557 Tardío, J., Pardo-de-Santayana, M., Morales, R., 2006. Ethnobotanical review of wild  
558 edible plants in Spain. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 152, 27-71.
- 559 Teklehaymanot, T., Giday, M., 2010. Ethnobotanical study of wild edible plants of Kara  
560 and Kwegu semi-pastoralist people in Lower Omo River Valley, Dehub Omo Zone,  
561 SNNPR, Ethiopia. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine* 6.
- 562 Termote, C., Van Damme, P., Djailo, B.D., 2010. Eating from the Wild: Turumbu  
563 Indigenous Knowledge on Noncultivated Edible Plants, Tshopo District, DR Congo.  
564 *Ecology of Food and Nutrition* 49, 173-207.
- 565 Vardavas, C.I., Majchrzak, D., Wagner, K.H., Elmadfa, I., Kafatos, A., 2006. The  
566 antioxidant and phyloquinone content of wildly grown greens in Crete. *Food*  
567 *Chemistry* 99, 813-821.
- 568  
569

570 **Table 1** Sample description by study area (n=353)

Study area	N	% women	% per age group			% agriculture
			<40	41-60	>61	
Alt Empordà	101	48	38	27	36	15
Alta Vall del Ter	100	51	18	36	46	22
Eastern Mallorca	152	45	38	30	32	6

571

**Table 2** Species included in the survey, per study site

Use <sup>1</sup>	Alt Empordà		Alta Vall del Ter		Eastern Mallorca	
	Species	Voucher	Species	Voucher	Species	Voucher
B	<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	BCN 29877	<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	BCN 24908	<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> Mill.	BCN 95541
F	<i>Rubus ulmifolius</i> Schott	BCN 29938	<i>Rubus ulmifolius</i> Schott	BCN 24978	<i>Rubus ulmifolius</i> Schott	BCN 29938
F	<i>Arbutus unedo</i> L.	BCN 29836	<i>Fragaria vesca</i> L.	BCN 24889	<i>Quercus ilex</i> L.	BCN103497
S	<i>Cynara cardunculus</i> L.	BCN 29860	<i>Cynara cardunculus</i> L.	BCN 24759	<i>Cynara cardunculus</i> L.	BCN 29860
S	<i>Origanum vulgare</i> L.	BCN 29742	<i>Origanum vulgare</i> L.	BCN 24939	<i>Crithmum maritimum</i> L.	BCN104272
V	<i>Reichardia picroides</i> (L.) Roth	BCN 29933	<i>Taraxacum dissectum</i> (Ledeb.) Ledeb.	BCN 25016	<i>Cichorium intybus</i> L.	BCN 29660
V	<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> Mill.	BCN 29867	<i>Carlina acanthifolia</i> All. subsp. <i>cynara</i> (Pourr. ex Duby) Arcang.	BCN 24738	<i>Chamaerops humilis</i> L.	BCN 23832

<sup>1</sup>B= Beverage, F=Fruit, S=Seasoning, V=Vegetable

**Table 3** Trends in consumption of selected WFP, per study site

Use <sup>1</sup>	Alt Empordà				Alta Vall del Ter				Eastern Mallorca			
	Species	Ever consumed	Change	Trend <sup>2</sup>	Species	Ever consumed	Change	Trend <sup>2</sup>	Species	Ever consumed	Change	Trend <sup>2</sup>
B	<i>Juglans regia</i>	97.03	-4.95	M	<i>Juglans regia</i>	99.01	-9.00	M	<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i>	94.81	-14.07	SA
F	<i>Rubus ulmifolius</i>	89.90	-39.39	SA	<i>Rubus ulmifolius</i>	98.02	-44.55	DA	<i>Rubus ulmifolius</i>	92.72	-45.03	DA
F	<i>Arbutus unedo</i>	75.00	-56.58	DA	<i>Fragaria vesca</i>	98.02	-34.65	SA	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	74.13	-46.85	DA
S	<i>Cynara cardunculus</i>	60.00	-32.50	SA	<i>Cynara cardunculus</i>	90.57	-73.59	DA	<i>Cynara cardunculus</i>	50.00	-40.62	DA
S	<i>Origanum vulgare</i>	94.57	-2.17	M	<i>Origanum vulgare</i>	88.89	-20.20	SA	<i>Crithmum maritimum</i>	96.72	-44.26	DA
V	<i>Reichardia picroides</i>	77.78	-64.45	DA	<i>Taraxacum dissectum</i>	29.85	-26.86	SA	<i>Cichorium intybus</i>	40.21	-32.99	SA
V	<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i>	80.90	-34.84	SA	<i>Carlina acanthifolia</i>	92.63	-42.11	DA	<i>Chamaerops humilis</i>	90.43	-48.94	DA

<sup>1</sup> B= Beverage, F=Fruit, S=Seasoning, V=Vegetable

<sup>2</sup> M=Maintenance, SA= Slight abandonment, DA= Deep abandonment

**Table 4** Percentage of responses according to type and direction of the motivations for the consumption of WFP

Direction Type	Discontinue		Continue		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Environmental <sup>1</sup>	49	2.82	10	0.57	59	3.39
Economic <sup>2</sup>	21	1.21	28	1.61	49	2.82
Sociocultural <sup>3</sup>	643	37	989	56.84	1632	93.79
Total	713	41	1027	59	1740	100

<sup>1</sup>Climate, abundance, scarcity

<sup>2</sup>Commerce availability, investment of time, prize

<sup>3</sup>Flavor/aroma/texture, association with animal/children's/ scarcity/ local food, fashionable/ healthy/ traditional consideration, changes in resources management, habit/dietary changes, etc.

**Table 5** Classification of motivations for the consumption of WFP

Direction	Motivation	Example	AE		AVT		EM		Overall	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Environmental										
Discontinue	It is scarce	There are very few	8	1.90	27	5.24	6	0.75	41	2.36
	It is protected or its recollection is not allowed	It is prohibited	0	0.00	0	0.00	7	0.87	7	0.40
	Non accessible/ It grows in difficult to access places	It grows at very high altitudes	1	0.24	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.06
Continue	It is abundant	There are many	0	0.00	2	0.39	0	0.00	2	0.11
	Accessible/ It grows in easy to access places	It is handy	1	0.24	5	0.97	2	0.25	8	0.46
Economic										
Discontinue	It cannot be found in the market now	It used to be sold in sweet shops but now it cannot be found	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	0.25	2	0.11
	It is laborious to collect/prepare	It is small and it takes a long time to collect	2	0.48	0	0.00	2	0.25	4	0.23
	It is expensive/ It has never been given/brought to them	I only eat it when someone offers it to me	0	0.00	0	0.00	4	0.50	4	0.23
	Lack of time	I have no time to collect them	1	0.24	10	1.94	0	0.00	11	0.63
Continue	It can be bought	If available in the market, I buy them	0	0.00	2	0.39	19	2.36	21	1.21
	It is free/ It was a gift	We ate it when we were children as it was free	0	0.00	1	0.19	6	0.75	7	0.40
Socio-cultural										
Discontinue	Unpleasant flavor/aroma	I do not like it	34	8.08	31	6.02	47	5.85	11	6.44
	It is consumed by outsiders	Urbanites go collecting them	0	0.00	1	0.19	1	0.12	2	0.11
	It is associated with times of scarcity/ Other foods are now available	Before we were hungrier and ate it	6	1.43	6	1.17	12	1.49	24	1.38
	It is only done occasionally	We do not eat it every year	8	1.9	17	3.3	58	7.21	83	4.7

			0	0					7	
	It is considered food for animals	Rabbits eat it	2	0.48	0	0.00	14	1.74	16	0.92
	Food from the past/ Consumed during activities now in disuse	These are things of the past	1	0.24	1	0.19	15	1.87	17	0.98
	It is children's food	Eating it was a child's thing	3	0.71	2	0.39	39	4.85	44	2.53
	It has a rough texture	I do not like it; it has too many seeds	5	1.19	2	0.39	2	0.25	9	0.52
	Consumption associated to a specific place	We ate it when we lived in the country house	0	0.00	0	0.00	17	2.11	17	0.98
	Changes in resources management	We do not have cows anymore	11	2.61	16	3.11	3	0.37	30	1.72
	Unhealthy/ It has contraindications or restrictions for eating it	If you eat many you get drunk	2	0.48	4	0.78	2	0.25	8	0.46
	Consumption associated to a specific past activity	We do not make cheese anymore	9	2.14	5	0.97	14	1.74	28	1.61
	Collection is time consuming or inconvenient	I do not go to the fields anymore	23	5.46	49	9.51	19	2.36	91	5.23
	Consumption associated to a specific person not around anymore	I ate it when my mother used to prepare it.	0	0.00	0	0.00	10	1.24	10	0.57
	It is not within the person's habits	I do not use it	5	1.19	4	0.78	39	4.85	48	2.76
	Lack of specific knowledge of the use	I do not know well the plant	4	0.95	4	0.78	82	10.20	90	5.17
	Dietary changes	We have changed our diet	1	0.24	4	0.78	9	1.12	14	0.80
Continue	Pleasant flavor/aroma/texture	I like it	22	53.4	25	48.7	29	36.6	77	44.3
	Eaten as a social compromise	It was offered to me and I ate it	2	0.48	0	0.00	1	0.12	3	0.17
	Local food	This is very much from here	0	0.00	7	1.36	1	0.12	8	0.46
	Collection is a hobby	I never eat it but go to collect it for the family	0	0.00	1	0.19	2	0.25	3	0.17
	It is a tradition	It is our tradition here	1	0.24	4	0.78	11	1.37	16	0.92
	Specific cooking properties	Cheese prepared with it is tender	1	0.24	1	0.19	2	0.25	4	0.23

	It has become fashionable	All youngsters use it	0	0.0 0	1	0.1 9	0	0.00	1	0.0 6
	It is healthy/natural	It is good for health	18	4.2 8	11	2.1 4	10	1.24	39	2.2 4
	It has medicinal properties	It is good for cholesterol	23	5.4 6	24	4.6 6	1	0.12	48	2.7 6
	It is eaten to remember the past	I bought it because I get emotional with things of the past	0	0.0 0	1	0.1 9	0	0.00	1	0.0 6
	It is associated with festivities/specific activities	I eat it when I go collecting mushrooms	11	2.6 1	2	0.3 9	37	4.60	50	2.8 7
	Just because	I eat it just because	13	3.0 9	19	3.6 9	13	1.62	45	2.5 9
				421		515		804		1740

**Figure 1**      Location of studied areas